

commonly used therapies, taking country-specific treatment patterns into account. Decision-modelling allows for comparators from Phase III trials and other treatments to be compared by using multiple sources of data.

Methods: A decision model was developed from Janssen's unpublished clinical trials for vorozole and megestrol acetate and published clinical trials for anastrozole and formestane. A modified delphi panel was carried out in each country to obtain data which was not otherwise available and to obtain country-specific treatment patterns. Cost data were obtained from databases and published sources. A Q-TWiST (quality-adjusted time without symptoms and toxicity) approach is being used for comparing treatments. Q-TWiST methodology allows for the comparison of treatments by combining the incidence and duration of symptoms and adverse events and clinical outcomes into a single score (Gelber et al, 1986; Gelber et al, 1991).

Results: Separate cost-effectiveness ratios will be calculated for each of the four countries. Sensitivity analysis will be conducted to assess the robustness of the model and the impact of key parameters on the conclusions.

Discussion: Cost effectiveness will be influenced by efficacy, the side effects profile and the cost of management of the different drug regimes. The study will determine if vorozole's longer duration of response, as demonstrated in two Phase III clinical trials, results in a more cost-effective outcome.

Munro V, MEDTAP International Inc., 27 Gilbert Street, London W1Y 1RL, UK

PP51. Information asymmetries and strategic consequences of a prospective payment-like regulation in health care: Evidence from the twenty French cancer institutes

Paraponaris A^{1,2,3}

¹Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Département d'Aide à la Recherche Clinique et à l'Evaluation Médico-Economique, Marseille, France; ²INSERM 379, Epidémiologie et Sciences Sociales Appliquées à l'Innovation Médicale, Marseille, France; ³Groupe de Recherche en Economie Quantitative d'Aix-Marseille, Marseille, France

Background: French public and private but non-profit hospitals have been experiencing for two years a major change in the financing mechanisms. Up to then, the financing system was roughly a retrospective payment system. Because of skyrocketing health expenditures, a prospective payment-like system based on the inventory of medical information's about patients' stays implemented in 1991 has been set up. Average costs have been calculated from inpatients and outpatients stays over a sample of 3 regional and 18 general public hospitals, 11 private non-profit hospitals and 3 cancer institutes (for the year 1995). They constitute the backbone of the payments given by the regulator on the basis of the casemix of the hospitals.

Methods: The paper uses the casemix of the twenty French cancer institutes over two years. It puts forward a unified framework in order to assess the genuine components of the (mis-)appreciation of anticancer activity by the prospective payment-like system and the actual pernicious effects entailed by such payment mechanisms.

Results: First the overall decrease in the standard deviation/average cost ratio (a proxy for costs' convergence across hospitals) computed from the said representative sample of hospitals may come from a statistical artefact. Second, despite that downward trend, a significant part of the activity of cancer institutes (up to 25%) is allocated to Diagnosis Related Groups (DRG) which are overrepresented in the casemix of cancer institutes but which value dramatically varies around the average costs. This finding means that the activity of the twenty French cancer institutes may obviously be mis-assessed. Consequently the cancer institutes are likely to prevent from detrimental effects of such a payment system by taking benefit from their own private information about the production cost and the quality of health care. They can achieve this goal either by cutting the length of stay for DRG which payment is cut (which can be considered as a cut in the health care quality), by selecting patients whose stays are classified in DRG which payment increases, or by both ways.

Discussion: The former strategy (decrease in health care quality) has already been documented as a moral hazard effect. The latter one has been

described as a selection effect when the hospital actually selects patients, as DRG creep when the DRG coordinator of the Hospital uses a crafty legal codification in order to assign a patient stay to the most profitable DRG. However papers about those hospitals strategies did not cope with a specialized medical field like anticancer treatments nor dealt with French health care system. The results clearly shed light on a necessary and quick reassessment of the DRG costs involved in the treatment of cancers.

Paraponaris A, DARCEME, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, 232 Bld de Sainte-Marguerite, 13273 Marseille Cedex 9, France, E-mail: alain@ehess.cnrs-mrs.fr

PP52. Determinants of treatment costs for stage III and IV colon cancer patients in Latvia. Evaluation of oral treatment with Ftorafur and Ftorafur/Leucovorin

Plate S, Berzins J

Latvian Medical Academy Hospital, Latvian Cancer Center, Riga, Latvia

Background: The standard treatment regimen for stage III colon cancer patients in adjuvant setting in Latvia is Fluorouracil (5FU) and Leucovorin (LV); as for stage IV patients, no standard treatment is established. The characteristic feature of chemotherapy treatment as a rule is administering of the regimen on inpatient basis. Reason for such strategy is based on specific social and financial situation of the society during transitional period economy - large hospitals located in the capital and low income of patients arriving from distant regions with financial situation not allowing them to receive treatment on out-patient basis.

Methods: As hospitalization increases the costs of treatment, an investigation is being carried out evaluating oral ftorafur (FT) 600 mg/m²/d 1-28 and FT 600 mg/m²/d 1-28 + LV 20 mg/m²/d 1-28 in decreasing costs and maintaining the efficacy of treatment in stage III as well as quality of life (QoL) in stage IV colon cancer patients.

Results: First results on oral usage of FT and FT + LV have shown efficacy of both regimens comparable to that of standard treatment in stage III colon cancer. In stage IV colon cancer patients several advantages of oral treatment were seen, including reduced toxicity and improved well-being. At the same time in both stages significant decrease in costs of treatment is observed.

Conclusion: Our results as well as data from other sources lead to the conclusion that oral treatment can be used as an alternative to standard regimen; it seems to us that such treatment may serve as a base for home therapy in stage III and IV colon cancer patients.

Plate S, Department of Medical Oncology, Latvian Medical Academy Hospital, Pilsonu St. 13, Riga, Latvia

PP53. A quality-adjusted survival (Q-TWiST) analysis of EORTC trial 30853 comparing maximal androgen blockade (MAB) with orchiectomy in patients with metastatic prostate cancer

Rosendahl KI¹, Curran D², Kiebert G², Cole B³, Weeks JC⁴, Denis LJ⁵, Hall RR⁶

¹Stockholm, Sweden; ²Brussels, Belgium; ³Providence, United States; ⁴Boston, United States; ⁵Antwerp, Belgium; ⁶Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK

Background: Prostate cancer is nowadays the first or second most common malignancy in industrialised countries for men. Although early stages of prostatic cancer may be cured by local modalities, the treatment of metastatic disease is much less satisfactory and constitutes a major problem in the management of the disease. The 'classical' data analysis of this trial indicated a significantly better time to progression and duration of survival for the group of patients who received MAB. Most frequently reported side effects for both treatment arms were hot flushes and gynaecomastia, but both symptoms were more frequently reported by patients in the MAB treatment arm (Urology 42 no 2: 119-130, 1993).

Methods: The aim of this study was to re-analyse these data by applying the Q-TWiST method to obtain a summary measurement of the trade-off